arXiv:1704.06375v1 [cs.IT] 21 Apr 2017

Quantum Codes from Linear Codes over Finite Chain Rings

Xiusheng Liu
School of Mathematics and Physics,
Hubei Polytechnic University
Huangshi, Hubei 435003, China,
Email: lxs6682@163.com
Hualu Liu
School of Mathematics and Physics,
Hubei Polytechnic University
Huangshi, Hubei 435003, China,
Email: hwlulu@aliyun.com

April 24, 2017

Abstract

In this paper, we provide two methods of constructing quantum codes from linear codes over finite chain rings. The first one is derived from the Calderbank-Shor-Steane (CSS) construction applied to self-dual codes over finite chain rings. The second construction is derived from the CSS construction applied to Gray images of the linear codes over finite chain ring $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$. The good parameters of quantum codes from cyclic codes over finite chain rings are obtained.

Keywords Quantum codes · Cyclic codes · Dual-containing codes,

1 Introduction

Quantum codes were introduced to protect quantum information from decoherence and quantum noise. A main obstacle to complete quantum communication is decoherence of quantum bits caused by inevitable interaction with environments. Quantum codes provide an efficient way to overcome decoherence. After the works of Shor [1] and Steane [2], the

theory of quantum codes has been progressed rapidly. Calderbank et al. [3] provided a systematic mathematical scheme to construct quantum codes from classical Hermitian dual-containing codes over finite field $\mathbb{F}_4$.

Some authors constructed quantum codes by using linear codes over finite rings. For example, in [4], Qian et al. gave a new method to obtain self-orthogonal codes over $\mathbb{F}_2$. They gave a construction for quantum codes starting from cyclic codes over finite ring, $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2, u^2 = 0$. In [5], Ashraf and Mohammad constructed quantum codes from cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_3 + v\mathbb{F}_3$. In this paper, we continue to study quantum codes which are derived from finite chain ring.

Hereafter, p is a prime. The purpose of this paper is to consider liner codes over finite chain rings to obtain good quantum codes. In Section 2, we review some concepts and properties about quantum codes over finite fields. In section 3, we first give the necessary background materials on finite chain rings. Then a construction for quantum codes from self-dual codes over finite chain rings is given. In the final section, for special finite chain ring $R = \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$, we define a new Gray map Φ from R^n to $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}^{2n}$, Gray weights of elements of R^n, Gray distance $d_G(C)$ and Hermitian dual $C^{\perp_H}$ with respect to Gray weight and the Hermitian inner product in the linear code C over R. We prove that $\Phi(C^{\perp_H}) = \Phi(C)^{\perp_H}$, and give a method to derive Hermitian dual-containing codes over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$ as Gray images of linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$. The parameters of quantum codes are obtained from cyclic codes over R.

2 Review of Symmetric Quantum Codes

In this section, we recall some basic concepts and results of symmetric quantum codes, necessary for the development of this work. For more details, we refer to [6,7].

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field with $q = p^{2m}$, where p is a prime number and $m \geq 1$ is an integer. Let V_n be the Hilbert space $V_n = \mathbb{C}^{q^n} = \mathbb{C}^q \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$. Let $\mid x\rangle$ be the vectors of an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^{q^n}$, where the labels x are elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then V_n has the following orthonormal basis $\{|c\rangle = |c_1c_2\cdots c_n\rangle = |c_1\rangle \otimes |c_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |c_n\rangle : \ c = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n\}$.

Consider $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the unitary linear operators $X(a)$ and $Z(b)$ in $\mathbb{C}^q$ are defined by $X(a)|x\rangle = |x+a\rangle$ and $Z(b)|x\rangle = w^{tr(bx)}|x\rangle$, respectively, where $w = exp(2\pi i/p)$ is a primitive p-th root of unity and tr is the trace map from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we write $X(\mathbf{a}) = X(a_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes X(a_n)$ and $Z(\mathbf{a}) = Z(a_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes Z(a_n)$ for the tensor products of n error operators. The set $E_n = \{X(\mathbf{a})Z(\mathbf{b}) : \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n\}$ is an error basis on the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^{q^n}$ and we set $G_n = \{w^c X(\mathbf{a})Z(\mathbf{b}) : \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n, c \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ is the error group associated with E_n.

Definition 2.1. *A q-ary quantum code of length n is a subspace Q of V_n with dimension $K > 1$. A quantum code Q of dimension $K > 2$ is called symmetric quantum code (SQC)*

with parameters $((n, K, d))_q$ *or* $[[n, k, d]]_q$,*where* $k = log_q K$ *if* Q *detect* $d-1$ *quantum digits of errors for* $d \geq 1$. *Namely, if for every orthogonal pair* $|u\rangle, |v\rangle$ *in* Q *with* $< u|v >= 0$ *and every* $e \in G_n$ *with* $W_Q(e) \leq d-1$, $|u\rangle$ *and* $e|v\rangle$ *are orthogonal, i.e.,*$< u|e|v >= 0$. *Such a quantum code is called pure if* $< u|e|v >= 0$ *for any* $|u\rangle$ *and* $|v\rangle$ *in* Q *and any* $e \in G_n$ *with* $1 \leq W_Q(e) \leq d-1$. *A quantum code* Q *with* $K = 1$ *is always pure.*

Let us recall the SQC construction:

Theorem 2.2. [7, Lemma 20] *Let* C_i *be a classical linear code with parameters* $[n, k_i, d_i]_q$ *and* $C_i^{\perp} \subseteq C_{1+(i \ mod \ 2)} (i = 1, 2)$. *Then there exists an SQC* Q *with parameters* $[[n, k_1 + k_2 - n, \geq d]]_q$ *that is pure to* $min\{d_1, d_2\}$, *where* $d = min\{wt(c) : c \in (C_1 \backslash C_2^{\perp}) \cup (C_2 \backslash C_1^{\perp})\}$.

Corollary 2.3. *If* C *be a classical linear* $[n, k, d]_q$ *code containing its dual* $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$, *then there exists an SQC* Q *with parameters* $[[n, 2k - n, \geq d]]_q$ *that is pure to* d.

To see that an SQC is good in terms of its parameters, we have to introduce the quantum Singleton bound (See [6]).

Theorem 2.4. *Let* C *be an SQC with parameters* $[[n, k, d]]_q$. *Then* $k \leq n - 2d + 2$.

If an SQC Q with parameters $[[n, k, d]]_q$ attains the quantum Singleton bound $k = n - 2d + 2$, then it is called an SQC maximum-distance-separable (SQCMDS) code.

Definition 2.5. *An SQC* Q *with parameters* $[[n, k, d]]_q$ *is called a near quantum maximum distance separable (SQCNMDS) code if it satisfies* $2d \geq n - k$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let* C *be an* $[n, k, d]_q$ *classical code containing its dual,* $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$. *Then*

(1) $k \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

(2) If C *is an MDS code, then there exists an* $[[n, 2k - n, d]]_q$ *SQCMDS code.*

Proof. (1) Since C is a k-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$, $C^{\perp}$ is a $(n-k)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. It follows that $n - k \leq k$ by $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$. Therefore, $k \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

(2) If C is an $[n, k, d]_q$ classical MDS codes containing its dual,$C^{\perp} \subseteq C$, then Corollary 2.3 implies the existence of a quantum $[[n, 2k - n, \geq d]]_q$ code Q. Theorem 2.4 shows that the minimum distance of Q is $\leq \frac{n-(2k-n)+2}{2} = n - k + 1$, so Q is an $[[n, 2k - n, d]]_q$ SQCMDS code.

Corollary 2.7. *Let* C *be an* $[n, k, d]_q$ *classical code containing its dual,* $C^{\perp} \subseteq C$, *and* $2d \geq n - k$. *Then there exists an* $[[n, 2k - n, \geq d]]_q$ *SQCNMDS code.*

Proof. If C is an $[n, k, d]_q$ classical codes containing its dual,$C^{\perp} \subseteq C$, then Corollary 2.3 implies the existence of a quantum $[[n, 2k - n, d_1]]_q$ code Q, where $d_1 \geq d$. So Q is an $[[n, 2k - n, \geq d]]_q$ SQCNMDS code.

3 SQC from to the Linear Codes over Finite Chain Rings

Constructions of quantum codes are exhaustively investigated in the literature. As mentioned in Section 1, some authors have exhibited families of optimal codes. However, many of these techniques are based on the construction of classical codes over finite fields.

In this section, we use self-dual codes over finite chain rings to construct SQC.

We begin with some definitions and properties about finite chain rings (see[8,9]). Let R be a finite commutative ring with identity. A nonempty subset $C \subseteq R^n$ is called a *linear code* of length n over R if it is an R-submodule of R^n. Throughout this section, all codes are assumed to be linear.

A commutative ring is called a *chain ring* if the lattice of all its ideals is a chain. It is well known that if R is a finite chain ring, then R is a principal ideal ring and has a unique maximal ideal $\langle\gamma\rangle = R\gamma = \{r\gamma \,|\, r \in R\}$. Its chain of ideals is

$$R = \langle\gamma^0\rangle \supset \langle\gamma^1\rangle \supset \cdots \langle\gamma^{t-1}\rangle \supset \langle\gamma^t\rangle = \{0\}.$$

The integer t is called the *nilpotency index* of γ. Note that the quotient $R/\langle\gamma\rangle$ is a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, where q is a power of a prime p. There is a natural homomorphism from R onto $\mathbb{F}_q = R/\langle\gamma\rangle$, i.e.,

$$^- : R \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q = R/\langle\gamma\rangle, \quad r \mapsto r + \langle\gamma\rangle = \overline{r}, \qquad \text{for any } r \in R.$$

We need the following lemma (see [9]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a finite chain ring with maximal ideal $\langle\gamma\rangle$. Let $V \subset R$ be a set of representatives for the equivalence classes of R under congruence modulo γ. Then*

(1) for any $v \in R$ there exist unique $v_0, \ldots, v_{t-1} \in V$ such that $v = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} v_i\gamma^i$.

(2) $|V| = |R/\gamma| = |\mathbb{F}_q|$.

The natural homomorphism from R onto $\mathbb{F}_q = R/\langle\gamma\rangle$ can be extended naturally to a projection from R^n onto $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. For an element $c \in R^n$, let $\overline{c}$ be its image under this projection. Given $r \in R$ and $c \in R^n$, we denote by rc the usual multiplication of a vector by a scalar. Let C be a code of length n over R. We define $\overline{C} = \left\{\overline{c} \,|\, c \in C\right\}$ and $(C : r) = \left\{e \in R^n \,|\, re \in C\right\}$, where r is an element of R.

Definition 3.2. *To any code C over R, we associate the tower of codes*

$$C = (C : \gamma^0) \subseteq (C : \gamma) \subseteq \ldots \subseteq (C : \gamma^{t-1})$$

over R and its projection to $\mathbb{F}_q$,

$$\overline{C} = \overline{(C : \gamma^0)} \subseteq \overline{(C : \gamma)} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \overline{(C : \gamma^{t-1})}.$$

The following definitions and results can be found in [9].

Definition 3.3. *Let C be a linear code over R. A generator matrix G for C is said to be in standard form if after a suitable permutation of the coordinates,*

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} I_{k_0} & A_{0,1} & A_{0,2} & A_{0,3} & \cdots & A_{0,t-1} & A_{0,t} \\ 0 & \gamma I_{k_1} & \gamma A_{1,2} & \gamma A_{1,3} & \cdots & \gamma A_{1,t-1} & \gamma A_{1,t} \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^2 I_{k_2} & \gamma^2 A_{2,3} & \cdots & \gamma^2 A_{2,t-1} & \gamma^2 A_{2,t} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \gamma^{t-1} I_{k_{t-1}} & \gamma^{t-1} A_{t-1,t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ \gamma A_1 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma^{t-1} A_{t-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$

We associate to G the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_{t-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.2}$$

For a code C, we define the rank of C, denoted by $\text{rank}(C)$, to be the minimum number of generators of C and the free rank of C, denoted by $\text{free rank}(C)$, to be the maximum of the ranks of free R-submodules of C. Codes where the rank is equal to the free rank are called free codes.

Let C be a linear code over R. We denote by $k(C)$ the number of rows of a generating matrix G in standard form for C, and for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t-1$ we denote by $k_i(C)$ the number of rows of G that are divisible by γ^i but not by γ^{i+1}.

Clearly, $\text{rank}C = k(C) = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} k_i(C)$.

It is well known (see [10]) that for codes C of length n over any alphabet of size m

$$d_H(C) \leq n - log_m(|C|) + 1.$$

Codes meeting this bound are called MDS (Maximum Distance Separable) codes.

Further if C is linear, then

$$d_H(C) \leq n - rank(C) + 1.$$

Codes meeting this bound are called MDR (Maximum Distance with respect to Rank) codes.

Lemma 3.4. *Let C be a linear code over R with a generator matrix G in standard form and let A be as in* (3.2).

(1)*For $0 \leq i \leq t-1$, $\overline{(C : \gamma^i)}$ has generator matrix*

$$\overline{G_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{A_0} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{A_i} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\dim\overline{(C:\gamma^i)} = k_0(C) + \cdots + k_i(C)$.

(2) *For* $0 \le i \le t-1$, $\overline{(C^\perp:\gamma^i)} = \overline{(C:\gamma^{t-1-i})}^\perp$. *We have* $k(C^\perp) = n - k_0(C), k_0(C^\perp) = n - k(C)$, *and* $k_i(C^\perp) = k_{t-i}(C)$, *for* $i = 1, \ldots, t-1$.

(3) $d_H(C) = d_H\overline{(C^\perp:\gamma^{t-1})}$.

(4) *If* C *is an MDR code over* R, *then* $\overline{(C^\perp:\gamma^{t-1})}$ *is an MDS code over* $\mathbb{F}_q = R/\langle\gamma\rangle$.

We have an important observation that proves to be rather useful to construct SQC .

Lemma 3.5. *Let* C *be a self-dual code of length* n *over finite chain ring* R. *Then*

$$\overline{(C:\gamma^{t-1-i})}^\perp \subseteq \overline{(C:\gamma^{i+j})},$$

where $0 \le i \le t-1,\ 0 \le j \le t-1-i$. *In particular,*

$$\overline{(C:\gamma^{t-1})}^\perp \subseteq \overline{(C:\gamma^{t-1})}.$$

Proof. For $1 \le i \le t-1,\ 0 \le j \le t-1-i$, by definition 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\overline{(C:\gamma^{t-1-i})}^\perp = \overline{(C^\perp:\gamma^i)} = \overline{(C:\gamma^i)} \subseteq \overline{(C:\gamma^{i+j})}.$$

In case $i = 0$, obviously, $\overline{(C:\gamma^{t-1})}^\perp \subseteq \overline{(C:\gamma^{t-1})}$. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let* C *be a self-dual code of length* n *and minimum distance* $d_H(C)$ *over finite chain ring* R *with a generator matrix* G *in standard form. Then*

(1) *there exists a quantum code with parameters* $[[n, 2k(C)-n, \ge d_H(C)]]_q$. *In particular, if* C *is an MDR code, then there exists an SQCMDS code with parameters* $[[n, 2k(C) - n, d_H(C)]]_q$.

(2) *there exists a quantum code with parameters* $[[n, l + 2s - n, \ge d_1]]_q$, *where* $d_1 = \min\{d_H\overline{(C:\gamma^{t-1-i})}, d_H\overline{(C:\gamma^{i+j})}\}$, $s = k_0(C)+k_1(C)+\cdots+k_i(C)$,$l = k_{i+1}(C)+\cdots+k_{i+j}(C)$, *and* $0 \le i \le t-1, 0 \le j \le t-1-i$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 (1), We know that $\dim\overline{(C:\gamma^{t-1})} = k(C)$. Thus, there exists a $[n, k(C), d_H(C)]$ code with $\overline{(C:\gamma^{t-1})}^\perp \subseteq \overline{(C:\gamma^{t-1})}$. According Corollary 2.3, the part (1) is proved.

For (2), by Lemma 3.4 (2), $\dim\overline{(C:\gamma^{t-1-i})}^\perp = k_0(C) + k_1(C) + \cdots + k_i(C)$, and $\dim\overline{(C:\gamma^{i+j})} = k_0(C) + k_1(C) + \cdots + k_i(C) + \cdots + k_{i+j}(C)$. Using Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.5, there exists a quantum code with parameters $[[n, l + 2s - n, \ge d_1]]_q$, which is the required result. □

In the rest of this section, we aim to obtain good quantum codes by cyclic codes over a finite chain ring $\widetilde{R}$ with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \widetilde{R}\gamma$, where γ is a generator of $\mathfrak{m}$ with nilpotency index 2.

The following result is well known (see [11]).

Theorem 3.7. *Let C be a cyclic code of length n over finite chain ring $\widetilde{R}$ with characteristic p^a, where $(p,n)=1$. Then*

(1) $C=\langle f(x)h(x),\gamma f(x)g(x)\rangle$, *where* $f(x)g(x)h(x)=x^n-1$.

(2) $C^{\perp}=\langle g^*(x)h^*(x),\gamma g^*(x)f^*(x)\rangle$, *where* $g^*(x)=x^{\deg g(x)}g(\frac{1}{x})$, *i.e., $g^*(x)$ is the reciprocal of $g(x)$.*

(3) $\overline{C}=\langle\overline{fh}\rangle$, *and* $\overline{(C:\gamma)}=\langle\overline{f}\rangle$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let C be a cyclic code of length n over finite chain ring $\widetilde{R}$ with characteristic p^a, where $(p,n)=1$. If $C=\langle f(x)h(x),\gamma f(x)g(x)\rangle$ with $f(x)g(x)h(x)=x^n-1$, then C is self-dual if and only if $f(x)=\epsilon g^*(x)$ and $h(x)=\varepsilon h^*(x)$, where ϵ and ε are units.*

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious since $C^{\perp}=\langle g^*(x)h^*(x),\gamma g^*(x)f^*(x)\rangle$.

Now, If C is self-dual, by Theorem 3.7 (2) we know that $\langle f(x)h(x),\gamma f(x)g(x)\rangle=\langle g^*(x)h^*(x),\gamma g^*(x)f^*(x)\rangle$. But these generators are the unique generators of this form. Hence

$$f(x)h(x)=g^*(x)h^*(x).$$

and

$$f(x)h(x)g(x)=g^*(x)h^*(x)g(x)=-g^*(x)h^*(x)f^*(x)=x^n-1.$$

Since $f^*(x)$ and $g^*(x)h^*(x)$ are coprime, $f^*(x)\mid g(x)$. Similarly, since

$$f(x)h(x)f^*(x)=g^*(x)h^*(x)f^*(x)=-f(x)g(x)h(x).$$

and $g(x)$ and $f(x)h(x)$ are coprime, $g(x)\mid f^*(x)$. That means that $g(x)=\epsilon f^*(x)$. Now, $f(x)h(x)=g^*(x)h^*(x)=\epsilon f(x)h^*(x)$ where $h^*(x)$ and $f(x)$ are coprime. This implies that $h(x)\mid h^*(x)$. Similarly, since $f^*(x)h^*(x)=g(x)h(x)=\epsilon f^*(x)h(x)$ where $h^*(x)$ and $f^*(x)$ are coprime, $h^*(x)\mid h(x)$. Therefore, $h(x)=\varepsilon h^*(x)$. □

Now combining Theorem 3.6 , 3.7 and 3.8, the following result is obtained.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $C=\langle f(x)h(x),\gamma f(x)g(x)\rangle$ be a cyclic self-dual code of length n over finite chain ring $\widetilde{R}$ with characteristic p^a, where $(p,n)=1$ and $f(x)g(x)h(x)=x^n-1$. Then*

(1) *there exists a quantum code with parameters* $[[n,n-2\deg\overline{f(x)},\geq d_H\overline{(C:\gamma)}\ ]]_q$.

(2) *there exists a quantum code with parameters* $[[n,n-2\deg\overline{f(x)}-\deg\overline{h(x)},\geq d_H\overline{(C:\gamma)}\ ]]_q$.

Example 1. *We first provide some examples to obtain quantum codes by non-trivial self-dual cyclic codes over the chain ring $\mathbb{F}_2+u\mathbb{F}_2$, where $u^2=0$.*

(1)*Let $n=7$. The factorization of x^7+1 over $\mathbb{F}_2+u\mathbb{F}_2$:*

$$x^7-1=(x+1)(x^3+x^2+1)(x^3+x+1).$$

Let $f(x)=x^3+x^2+1, g(x)=x^3+x+1, h(x)=x+1$. Then by Theorem 3.8 cyclic code $C=\langle f(x)h(x),uf(x)g(x)\rangle$ is self-dual. Using Theorem 3.9 (1), a $[[7,1,\geq 3]]_2$ quantum

code may be obtained from the $\overline{(C:u)} = \langle \overline{f} \rangle$ *of this code. Obviously, it is a SQCNMDS code. Again using Theorem 3.9 (2), a* $[[7, 0, \geq 3]]_2$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{C} = \langle \overline{f(x)h(x)} \rangle$ *of this code.*

(2) *Let* $n = 15$. *The factorization of* $x^{15} + 1$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ *is equal to*$f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4 f_5$, *where* $f_1 = x+1, f_2 = x^2+x+1, f_3 = x^4+x+1, f_4 = x^4+x^3+1, f_5 = x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1$. *Set* $f(x) = f_3, g(x) = f_4, h(x) = f_1 f_2 f_5$. *Then by Theorem 3.8 cyclic code* $C = \langle f(x)h(x), uf(x)g(x) \rangle$ *is self-dual. Using Theorem 3.9 (1), a* $[[15, 7, \geq 3]]_2$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{(C:u)} = \langle \overline{f} \rangle$ *of this code. Again using Theorem 3.9 (2), a* $[[15, 0, \geq 3]]_2$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{C} = \langle \overline{f(x)h(x)} \rangle$ *of this code.*

(3) *Let* $n = 21$. *The factorization of* $x^{21}+1$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ *is equal to* $f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4 f_5 f_6$, *where* $f_1 = x + 1, f_2 = x^2 + x + 1, f_3 = x^3 + x + 1, f_4 = x^3 + x^2 + 1, f_5 = x^6 + x^4 + x^2 + x + 1, f_6 = x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + 1$. *Set* $f(x) = f_5, g(x) = f_6, h(x) = f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4$. *Then by Theorem 3.8 cyclic code* $C = \langle f(x)h(x), uf(x)g(x) \rangle$ *is self-dual. Using Theorem 3.9 (1), a* $[[21, 9, \geq 3]]_2$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{(C:u)} = \langle \overline{f} \rangle$ *of this code. Again using Theorem 3.9 (2), a* $[[21, 0, \geq 3]]_2$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{C} = \langle \overline{f(x)h(x)} \rangle$ *of this code.*

(4) *Let* $n = 23$. *The factorization of* $x^{23} + 1$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ *is equal to* $f_1 f_2 f_3$, *where* $f_1 = x + 1, f_2 = x^{11} + x^9 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x + 1, f_3 = x^{11} + x^{10} + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + 1$. *Set* $f(x) = f_2, g(x) = f_3, h(x) = f_1$. *Then by Theorem 3.8 cyclic code* $C = \langle f(x)h(x), uf(x)g(x) \rangle$ *is self-dual. Using Theorem 3.9 (1), a* $[[23, 1, \geq 7]]_2$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{(C:u)} = \langle \overline{f} \rangle$ *of this code. Again using Theorem 3.9 (2), a* $[[23, 0, \geq 7]]_2$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{C} = \langle \overline{f(x)h(x)} \rangle$ *of this code.*

(5) *Let* $n = 31$. *The factorization of* $x^{31}+1$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_2+u\mathbb{F}_2$ *is equal to* $(x+1)f_1 f_{1c} f_2 f_{2c} f_3 f_{3c}$, *where* $f_1 = x^5+x^2+1, f_{1c} = x^5+x^3+1, f_2 = x^5+x^3+x^2+x+1, f_{2c} = x^5+x^4+x^3+x^2+1, f_3 = x^5+x^4+x^2+x+1, f_{3c} = x^5+x^4+x^3+x+1$. *Set* $f(x) = f_1 f_2, g(x) = f_{1c} f_{2c}, h(x) = (x+1)f_3 f_{3c}$. *Then by Theorem 3.8 cyclic code* $C = \langle f(x)h(x), uf(x)g(x) \rangle$ *is self-dual. Using Theorem 3.9 (1), a* $[[31, 21, \geq 5]]_2$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{(C:u)} = \langle \overline{f} \rangle$ *of this code. Obviously, it is a SQCNMDS code. Again using Theorem 3.9 (2), a* $[[31, 0, \geq 5]]_2$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{C} = \langle \overline{f(x)h(x)} \rangle$ *of this code.*

Example 2. *We provide some examples to obtain quantum codes by non-trivial cyclic self-dual codes over the chain ring* $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$.

(1) *Length* 11 *over* $\mathbb{Z}_{3^2}$. *The factorization of* $x^{11} - 1$ *over* $\mathbb{Z}_{3^2}$ *into a product of basic irreducible polynomials over* $\mathbb{Z}_{3^2}$ *is given by*

$$x^{11} - 1 = f_1(x) f_2(x) f_3(x).$$

where $f_1 = x - 1, f_2 = x^5 + 3x^4 + 8x^3 + x^2 + 2x - 1, f_3 = x^5 - 2x^4 - x^3 + x^2 - 3x - 1$. *Let* $f(x) = -f_3(x), g(x) = f_1(x), h(x) = -f_1(x)$. *Then by Theorem 3.8 cyclic code* $C = \langle f(x)h(x), 3f(x)g(x) \rangle$ *is self-dual. Using Theorem 3.9 (1), a* $[[11, 1, \geq 2]]_3$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{(C:3)} = \langle \overline{f} \rangle$ *of this code. Again using Theorem 3.9 (2), a* $[[11, 0, \geq 2]]_3$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{C} = \langle \overline{f(x)h(x)} \rangle$ *of this code.*

Length 13 *over* $\mathbb{Z}_{3^2}$. *The factorization of* $x^{13}-1$ *over* $\mathbb{Z}_{3^2}$ *into a product of basic irreducible polynomials over* $\mathbb{Z}_{3^2}$ *is given by*

$$x^{13} - 1 = f_1(x)f_2(x)f_3(x)f_4(x)f_5(x).$$

where $f_1 = x-1, f_2 = x^3+6x^2+2x+8, f_3 = x^3+7x^2+3x+8, f_4 = x^3+4x^2+7x+8, f_5 = x^3+2x^2+7x+8$. *Let* $f(x) = f_3(x), g(x) = f_2(x), h(x) = -f_1(x)f_4(x)f_5(x)$. *Then by Theorem 3.8 cyclic code* $C = \langle f(x)h(x), 3f(x)g(x)\rangle$ *is self-dual. Using Theorem 3.9 (1), a* $[[13, 7, \geq 3]]_3$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{(C:3)} = \langle \overline{f}\rangle$ *of this code. Obviously, it is a SQCNMDS code. Again using Theorem 3.9 (2), a* $[[13, 0, \geq 3]]_3$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{C} = \langle \overline{f(x)h(x)}\rangle$ *of this code.*

(2) *Length* 11 *over* $\mathbb{Z}_{5^2}$. *The factorization of* $x^{11}-1$ *over* $\mathbb{Z}_{5^2}$ *into a product of basic irreducible polynomials over* $\mathbb{Z}_{5^2}$ *is given by*

$$x^{11} - 1 = f_1(x)f_2(x)f_3(x).$$

where $f_1 = x-1, f_2 = x^5+17x^4+24x^3+x^2+16x+24, f_3 = x^5+9x^4+24x^3+x^2+8x+24$. *Let* $f(x) = -f_3(x), g(x) = f_2(x), h(x) = -f_1(x)$. *Then by Theorem 3.8 cyclic code* $C = \langle f(x)h(x), 5f(x)g(x)\rangle$ *is self-dual. Using Theorem 3.9 (1), a* $[[11, 1, \geq 5]]_5$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{(C:5)} = \langle \overline{f}\rangle$ *of this code. Obviously, it is a SQCNMDS code. Again using Theorem 3.9 (2), a* $[[11, 0, \geq 5]]_5$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{C} = \langle \overline{f(x)h(x)}\rangle$ *of this code.*

Length 22 *over* $\mathbb{Z}_{5^2}$. *The factorization of* $x^{22}-1$ *over* $\mathbb{Z}_{5^2}$ *into a product of basic irreducible polynomials over* $\mathbb{Z}_{5^2}$ *is given by*

$$x^{22} - 1 = f_1(x)f_2(x)f_3(x)f_4(x)f_5(x)f_6(x).$$

where $f_1 = x-1, f_2 = x+24, f_3 = x^5+16x^4+24x^3+24x^2+8x+1, f_4 = x^5+17x^4+24x^3+x^2+16x+1, f_5 = x^5+8x^4+24x^3+24x^2+16x+1, f_6 = x^5+9x^4+24x^3+x^2+16x+1$. *Let* $f(x) = f_5(x), g(x) = f_3(x), h(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x)f_4(x)f_6(x)$. *Then by Theorem 3.8 cyclic code* $C = \langle f(x)h(x), 5f(x)g(x)\rangle$ *is self-dual. Using Theorem 3.9 (1), a* $[[22, 12, \geq 2]]_5$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{(C:5)} = \langle \overline{f}\rangle$ *of this code. Again using Theorem 3.9 (2), a* $[[22, 0, \geq 3]]_5$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{C} = \langle \overline{f(x)h(x)}\rangle$ *of this code.*

(3) *Length* 6 *over* $\mathbb{Z}_{7^2}$. *The factorization of* x^6-1 *over* $\mathbb{Z}_{7^2}$ *into a product of basic irreducible polynomials over* $\mathbb{Z}_{7^2}$ *is given by*

$$x^6 - 1 = f_1(x)f_2(x)f_3(x)f_4(x)f_5(x)f_6(x).$$

where $f_1 = x-1, f_2 = x+1, f_3 = x+18, f_4(x) = x-18, f_5(x) = x+19, f_6(x) = x-19$. *Let* $f(x) = -18f_6(x), g(x) = f_4(x), h(x) = -18f_1(x)f_2(x)f_3(x)f_5(x)$. *Then by Theorem 3.8 cyclic code* $C = \langle f(x)h(x), 7f(x)g(x)\rangle$ *is self-dual. Using Theorem 3.9 (1), a* $[[6, 4, \geq 2]]_7$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{(C:7)} = \langle \overline{f}\rangle$ *of this code. Obviously, it is a SQCMDS code. Again using Theorem 3.9 (2), a* $[[6, 0, \geq 2]]_7$ *quantum code may be obtained from the* $\overline{C} = \langle \overline{f(x)h(x)}\rangle$ *of this code.*

4 SQC from to the Cyclic Codes over Chain Rings $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$

Throughout this section, p denotes a prime number and $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$ denotes the finite field with p^{2m} elements for a positive integer m. We always assume that n is a positive integer.

The ring $R = \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$ consists of all p^{2m}-ary polynomials of degree 0 and 1 in an indeterminate u, and it is closed under p^{2m}-ary polynomial addition and multiplication modulo u^2. Thus, $R = \frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}[u]}{\langle u^2\rangle} = \{a + ub | a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}\}$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $u\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$. Therefore, it is a chain ring. The ring R has precisely $p^{2m}(p^{2m} - 1)$ units, which are of the forms $\alpha + u\beta$ and γ, where α, β, and γ are nonzero elements of the field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$.

Let $\overline{a + ub} := \overline{a} + u\overline{b}$, where $\overline{a} = a^{p^m}$, and $\overline{b} = b^{p^m}$. The Hermitian inner product over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$ is defined as follows:

$$[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]_H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \overline{y_i}.$$

where $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in R^n$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$. The Hermitian dual code $C^{\perp_H}$ of C is defined by

$$C^{\perp_H} = \{\mathbf{x} \in R^n \mid [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]_H = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{y} \in C\}.$$

It is evident that $C^{\perp_H}$ is linear. We say that a code C is Hermitian dual-containing code if $C^{\perp_H} \subset C$ and $C \neq R^n$, and Hermitian self-dual if $C^{\perp_H} = C$.

It is easy to prove that

$$| C | \cdot | C^{\perp_H} | = | R |^n . \tag{4.1}$$

The following lemma can be found in [12].

Lemma 4.1. [12, *Corollary* 4.2] *Assume the notations given above. Then there exist* $\alpha \in R$ *such that* $\alpha^2 = -1$ *if and only if* $p^{2m} \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.

Remark 4.2. *Since* $\alpha \in R$, *there exist* $s, t \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$ *such that* $\alpha = s + ut$. *Hence computing in* R, *we have* $\alpha^2 = s^2 + 2stu = -1$, *which implies that* $s^2 = -1, 2st = 0$. *If* $p = 2$, *then take* $s = 1 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}, t = 0$ *we have* $\alpha^2 = -1$; *If* $p \neq 2$, *then* $t = 0$ *since* $2st = 0$. *Therefore,* $\alpha = s \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$.

From now on, we always assume that $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then $p^{2m} \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. So there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$ such that $\alpha^2 = -1$ in R.

We first give the definition of the Gray map on R^n. The Gray map $\Phi_1 : R \to \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}^2$ is given by $\Phi_1(a + bu) = (\alpha b, a + b)$, where $\alpha^2 = -1$. This map can be extended to R^n in a natural way:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \quad & R^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}^{2n} \\ & (a_1 + ub_1, \ldots, a_n + ub_n) \longmapsto (\alpha b_1, a_1 + b_1, \ldots, \alpha b_n, a_n + b_n). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we define a Gray weight for codes over R as follows.

Definition 4.3. *The Gray weight over R is a weight function on R defined as:*

$$w_G(a+bu) = \begin{cases} 0 & if\ a=0,\ b=0, \\ 1 & if\ a\neq 0,\ b=0, \\ 1 & if\ b\neq 0, a+b\equiv 0 \pmod p, \\ 2 & if\ b\neq 0, a+b\neq 0 \pmod p. \end{cases}$$

Define the Gray weight of a codeword $\mathbf{c}=(c_1,\ldots,c_n)\in R^n$ to be the rational sum of the Gray weight of its components is, $w_G(\mathbf{c})=\sum_{i=1}^n w_G(c_i)$. For any $\mathbf{c}_1,\mathbf{c}_2\in R^n$, the Gray distance d_G is given by $d_G(\mathbf{c}_1,\mathbf{c}_2)=w_G(\mathbf{c}_1-\mathbf{c}_2)$. The minimum Gray distance of C is the smallest nonzero Gray distance between all pairs of distinct codewords of C. The minimum Gray weight of C is the smallest nonzero Gray weight among all codewords of C. If C is linear, then the minimum Gray distance is same as the minimum Gray weight.

The Hamming weight $W(\mathbf{c})$ of a codeword $\mathbf{c}$ is the number of nonzero components in $\mathbf{c}$. The Hamming distance $d(\mathbf{c}_1,\mathbf{c}_2)$ between two codewords $\mathbf{c}_1$ and $\mathbf{c}_2$ is Hamming weight of the codeword $\mathbf{c}_1-\mathbf{c}_2$. The minimum Hamming distance d of C is defined as $\min\{d(\mathbf{c}_1,\mathbf{c}_2)|\mathbf{c}_1,\mathbf{c}_2\in C,\mathbf{c}_1\neq\mathbf{c}_2\}$ (See [13]).

Proposition 4.4. *The Gray map Φ is a distance-preserving map from* (R^n, Gray distance) *to* ($\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}^{2n}$, Hamming distance) *and it is also $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$-linear.*

Proof. From the above definitions, it is clear that $\Phi(\mathbf{c}_1-\mathbf{c}_2)=\Phi(\mathbf{c}_1)-\Phi(\mathbf{c}_2)$ for $\mathbf{c}_1,\mathbf{c}_2\in R^n$. Thus,

$$d_G(\mathbf{c}_1,\mathbf{c}_2)=w_G(\mathbf{c}_1-\mathbf{c}_2)=w(\Phi(\mathbf{c}_1-\mathbf{c}_2))=w(\Phi(\mathbf{c}_1)-\Phi(\mathbf{c}_2))=d(\Phi(\mathbf{c}_1),\Phi(\mathbf{c}_2)).$$

Let $\mathbf{c}_1,\mathbf{c}_2\in R^n$, $k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$. From the definition of the Gray map, we have $\Phi(k_1\mathbf{c}_1+k_2\mathbf{c}_2)=k_1\Phi(\mathbf{c}_1)+k_2\Phi(\mathbf{c}_2)$, which means that Φ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$-linear map. □

Corollary 4.5. *If C is a linear code over R of length n, size $(p^{2m})^k$ and minimum Gray weight d_G, then $\Phi(C)$ is a linear code over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$ with parameters $[2n,k,d_G]$.*

The Hermitian inner product over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$ is defined as follows:

$$[\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}]_H=\mathbf{a}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{b}}=\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i^{p^m},$$

where $\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\in\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}^n, \mathbf{a}=(a_1,\ldots,a_n), \mathbf{b}=(b_1,\ldots,b_n)$ and $\cdot$ is the usual Euclidean inner product.

An important connection that we want to investigate is the relation between the Hermitian dual and the Gray image of a code. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *Let C be a linear code over R of length n. Then $\Phi(C^{\perp_H}) = \Phi(C)^{\perp_H}$.*

Proof. To prove the theorem, we first show $\Phi(C^{\perp_H}) \subset \Phi(C)^{\perp_H}$, i.e.,

$$[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]_H = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; [\Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{y})]_H = 0 \;\text{ for all } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in R^n. \tag{4.2}$$

To this extent, let us assume that $\mathbf{x} = (a_1 + ub_1, \ldots, a_n + ub_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (c_1 + ud_1, \ldots, c_n + ud_n)$, where $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$. Then by

$$[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]_H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\overline{c_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(b_i\overline{c_i} + a_i\overline{d_i})u,$$

we see that $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]_H = 0$ if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\overline{c_i} = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(b_i\overline{c_i} + a_i\overline{d_i}) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Note that $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ we can assume that $p^m = 4k + 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, hence, $p^m + 1 = 4k + 2 = 2(2k + 1)$. According to $\alpha^2 = -1$, we have

$$\alpha^{p^m+1} = (\alpha^2)^{2k+1} = -1. \tag{4.5}$$

Now, since $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = (\alpha b_1, a_1 + b_1, \ldots, \alpha b_n, a_n + b_n)$, and $\Phi(\mathbf{y}) = (\alpha d_1, c_1 + d_1, \ldots, \alpha d_n, c_n + d_n)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{y})]_H &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^{p^m+1} b_i\overline{d_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_i + b_i)(\overline{c_i + d_i}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^{p^m+1} b_i\overline{d_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_i\overline{c_i} + a_i\overline{d_i} + b_i\overline{c_i} + b_i\overline{d_i}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\alpha^{p^m+1} + 1) b_i\overline{d_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\overline{c_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(b_i\overline{c_i} + a_i\overline{d_i}),
\end{aligned}$$

by(4.3-4.5) which finishes the of (4.2), i.e.,

$$\Phi(C^{\perp_H}) \subset \Phi(C)^{\perp_H}. \tag{4.6}$$

In the light of Corollary 4.5, $\Phi(C)$ is a linear code of length $2n$ of size $|C|$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$. So, by Corollary 4.5, we know that

$$|\Phi(C)^{\perp_H}| = \frac{(p^{2m})^{2n}}{|\Phi(C)|} = \frac{(p^{2m})^{2n}}{|C|}.$$

Since R is a finite chain ring, i.e., Frobenius ring, we have

$$|C^{\perp_H}| \cdot |C| = |R|^n = (p^{2m})^{2n}.$$

Hence, this implies that

$$|\Phi(C^{\perp_H})| = |\Phi(C)^{\perp_H}|. \tag{4.6}$$

Combining (4.5) with (4.6), we get the desired equality. □

The following is an immediate corollary to this:

Corollary 4.7. *(1) If C is a Hermitian self-dual code of length n over R, then $\Phi(C)$ is a Hermitian self-dual code of length $2n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$;*

(2) If C is a Hermitian dual-containing code of length n over R, then $\Phi(C)$ is a Hermitian dual-containing code of length $2n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$.

In the folowing, we always assume that n is a positive integer and $(n, p) = 1$. Let $\mathcal{R}_n = \frac{R[x]}{<x^n-1>}$. We denote by μ the natural surjective ring morphism from R to $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$, which can be extended naturally to a surjective ring morphism from $R[x]$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}[x]$.

For a polynomial $f(x)$ of degree k in $R[x]$, its reciprocal polynomial $x^k f(x^{-1})$ is denoted by $f^*(x)$. Note that the roots of $f^*(x)$ are the reciprocal of the corresponding roots of $f(x)$. Set $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_k x^k$, we define

$$\overline{f(x)} = \overline{a}_0 + \overline{a}_1 x + \cdots + \overline{a}_k x^k.$$

Lemma 4.8. *Let $f(x) = (t_0 + us_0) + (t_1 + us_1)x + \cdots + (t_{n-1} + us_{n-1})x^{n-1} \in R[x]$ and η be a primitive nth root of unity in some extension ring of R. If η^s is a root of $f(x)$, there $\overline{f^*(x)}$ has $\eta^{-p^m s}$ as a root.*

Proof. Let $\xi = \eta^s$. Then

$$f(\xi) = (t_0 + t_1\xi + \cdots + t_{n-1}\xi^{n-1}) + u(s_0 + s_1\xi + \cdots + s_{n-1}\xi^{n-1}) = 0.$$

This implies

$$t_0 + t_1\xi + \cdots + t_{n-1}\xi^{n-1} = 0 \ \text{ and } \ s_0 + s_1\xi + \cdots + s_{n-1}\xi^{n-1} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{f^*(\xi^{-p^m})} = (\overline{t}_{n-1} + \overline{t}_{n-2}\xi^{-p^m} + \cdots + \overline{t}_0\xi^{-p^m(n-1)}) + u(\overline{s}_{n-1} + \overline{s}_{n-2}\xi^{-p^m} + \cdots + \overline{s}_0\xi^{-p^m(n-1)})$$

$$= \xi^{-p^m(n-1)}[(t_0 + t_1\xi + \cdots + t_{n-1}\xi^{n-1})^{p^m} + u(s_0 + s_1\xi + \cdots + s_{n-1}\xi^{n-1})^{p^m}] = 0$$

□

Let i be an integer such that $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and let l be the smallest positive integer such that $i(p^{2m})^l \equiv i \pmod{n}$. Then $C_i = \{i, ip^{2m}, \ldots, i(p^{2m})^{l-1}\}$ is the $p^{2m}-$cyclotomic coset module n containing i. A cyclotomic coset C_i is called symmetric if $n - p^m i \in C_i$ and asymmetric otherwise. Let I_1 and I_2 be sets of symmetric and asymmetric coset representatives modulo n, respectively. Since p is coprime with n, the irreducible factors of $x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}[x]$ can be described by the $p^{2m}-$cyclotomic cosets. Suppose that ζ be a primitive nth root of unity over some extension field of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$. Then ζ is also a primitive nth root of unity over some extension ring of R. Let $m_j(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of ζ^j with respect to $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$. Then $m_j(x) = \Pi_{i \in C_j}(x - \zeta^i)$, and $\overline{m_j^*(x)} = \Pi_{i \in C_{-p^m j}}(x - \zeta^i)$ by Lemma 4.8. Therefore, polynomial $x^n - 1$ factors uniquely into monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}[x]$ as $x^n - 1 = \Pi_{j \in I_1} m_j(x) \Pi_{j \in I_2} m_j(x) m_{-p^m j}(x)$. By Hensel's lemma (See [9,Theorem 4.1.1]), $x^n - (1+u)$ has a unique decomposition as a product $\Pi_{j \in I_1} M_j(x) \Pi_{j \in I_2} M_j(x) M_{-p^m j}(x)$ of pairwise coprime monic basic irreducible polynomials in $R[x]$ with $\mu(M_j(x)) = m_j(x)$ for each $j \in I_1 \cup I_2$.

The following two lemmas can be found in [14].

Lemma 4.9. [14, *Theorem* 3.4] *Let $x^n - (1+u) = \Pi_{j \in I_1 \cup I_2} M_j(x)$ be the unique factorization of $x^n - (1+u)$ into a product of monic basic irreducible pairwise coprime polynomials in $R[x]$. If C is a cyclic code of length n over R, then $C = \langle \Pi_{j \in I_1 \cup I_2} M_j^{k_j}(x) \rangle$, where $0 \leq k_j \leq 2$. In this case, $| C | = (p^{2m})^{\sum_{j \in I_1 \cup I_2} (2-k_j) \ deg \ M_j}$.*

Lemma 4.10. [14, *Lemma* 4.2] *Let $C = \langle \Pi_{j \in I_1 \cup I_2} M_j^{k_j}(x) \rangle$ be a cyclic code of length n over R, where the polynomials M_j are the pairwise coprime monic basic irreducible factors of $x^n - (1+u)$ in $R[x]$ and $0 \leq k_j \leq 2$ for each $j \in I$. Then $C^{\perp_H} = \langle \Pi_{j \in I_1 \cup I_2} \overline{M_j^*(x)}^{2-k_j}(x) \rangle$ and $| C^{\perp_H} | = (p^{2m})^{\sum_{j \in I_1 \cup I_2} k_j \ deg \ M_j}$.*

Theorem 4.11. *Let C be a cyclic code of length n over R. If*

$$C = < \Pi_{j \in I_1} M_j^{k_j}(x) \Pi_{j \in I_2} M_j^{i_j}(x) M_{-p^m j}^{l_j}(x) >,$$

then $C^{\perp_H} \subset C$ if and only if $k_j = 0$ or $k_j = 1$ for $j \in I_1$ and $i_j + l_j \leq 2$ for $j \in I_2$.

Proof. According to Lemma 4.10, we have

$$C^{\perp_H} = \langle \Pi_{j \in I_1} M_j^{2-k_j}(x) \Pi_{j \in I_2} M_j^{2-l_j}(x) M_{-p^m j}^{2-i_j}(x) \rangle.$$

Comparing with $C = \langle \Pi_{j \in I_1} M_j^{k_j}(x) \Pi_{j \in I_2} M_j^{i_j}(x) M_{-p^m j}^{l_j}(x) \rangle$, it follows that $C^{\perp_H} \subset C$ if and only if $k_j = 0$ or $k_j = 1$ for $j \in I_1$ and $i_j + l_j \leq 2$ for $j \in I_2$. □

From now on, we always assume that $n = sp^t - 1$. Obviously, $(n, p) = 1$. In this case, we give a method to decompose $x^n - (1+u)$ into monic basic irreducible polynomials in $R(x)$. Let $g_1(x), g_2(x), \ldots, g_r(x)$ be monic basic irreducible polynomials in $R(x)$ such that $x^n - 1 = g_1(x) g_2(x) \cdots g_r(x)$. Note that $(1+u)^p = 1$ and $(1+u)^{sp^t} = 1$, we have

$$(1+u)^n (x^n - (1+u)) = (1+u)^{\sum_{i=1}^r deg \ g_i} [(1+u)^{p - deg \ g_1} g_1((1+u)x)] \cdots [(1+u)^{p - deg \ g_r} g_r((1+u)x)]$$

$$= (1+u)^n[(1+u)^{p-deg\ g_1}g_1((1+u)x)]\cdots[(1+u)^{p-deg\ g_r}g_r((1+u)x)].$$

Therefore,

$$x^n-(1+u) = [(1+u)^{p-deg\ g_1}g_1((1+u)x)]\cdots[(1+u)^{p-deg\ g_r}g_r((1+u)x)].$$

Let $f_i(x) = (1+u)^{p-deg\ g_i}g_i((1+u)x)$ for $1 \le i \le r$. Then the polynomial $x^n-(1+u)$ factor uniquely into monic basic irreducible polynomials in $R(x)$ as $f_1(x)f_2(x)\cdots f_r(x)$.

For a code C of length n over R, their torsion and residue codes are codes over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$, defined as follows.

$$\mathrm{Tor}(C) = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}^n \mid ua \in C\}, \quad \mathrm{Res}(C) = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}^n \mid \exists b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}^n : a+ub \in C\}.$$

The reduction modulo u from C to $\mathrm{Res}(C)$ is given by $\varphi : C \to \mathrm{Res}(C), \varphi(a+ub) = a$. Clearly, φ is well defined and onto, with $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi) = u\mathrm{Tor}(C)$, and $\varphi(C) = \mathrm{Res}(C)$. Therefore, $|\mathrm{Res}(C)| = \frac{|C|}{|\mathrm{Tor}(C)|}$. Thus, we obtain $|C| = |\mathrm{Res}(C)||\mathrm{Tor}(C)|$ and the following two theorems.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $C = \langle \Pi_{j\in I} M_j^{k_j}(x)\rangle$ be a cyclic code of length n over R where $x^n-(1+u) = \Pi_{j\in I_1}M_j(x)\Pi_{j\in I_2}M_j(x)M_{-p^m j}(x)$, $0 \le k_j \le 2$, and $I = I_1 \cup I_2$. Then*

(1) $\mathrm{Res}(C) = \langle \Pi_{j\in I}[\varphi(M_j(x))]^{\delta_j}\rangle$, *where $\delta_j = k_j$ if $k_j = 1$ or 0, and $\delta_j = 1$ if $k_j = 2$;*

(2) $\mathrm{Tor}(C) = \langle \Pi_{j\in I}[(\varphi M_j(x))]^{\eta_j}\rangle$, *where $\eta_j = 0$ if $k_j = 1$ or 0, and $\eta_j = 1$ if $k_j = 2$.*

Proof. According to the definition of $\mathrm{Res}(C)$, we have $\mathrm{Res}(C) = \langle \Pi_{j\in I}[(\varphi M_j(x))]^{k_j}\rangle$. Note that if $f(x)$ is a monic irreducible divisor of x^n-1 in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$ and $g(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{f(x)}$, then $(f(x), g(x)) = 1$. So there exist $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}[x]$ such that $a(x)f(x)+b(x)g(x) = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}[x]$. Computing in $\frac{\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}[x]}{\langle x^n-1\rangle}$, we get

$$a(x)f^2(x) = (1-b(x)g(x))f(x) = f(x)-b(x)f(x)g(x) = f(x)-b(x)(x^n-1) = f(x).$$

Consequently, $\langle f^2(x)\rangle = \langle f(x)\rangle$. This proves the (1).

For (2), since $u\Pi_{j\in I}[(\varphi M_j(x))]^{\eta_j} = u\Pi_{j\in I}[M_j(x)]^{\eta_j} = -\Pi_{j\in I}[M_j(x)]^{\eta_j+1} \in C$, we have $\langle \Pi_{j\in I}[(\varphi M_j(x))]^{\eta_j}\rangle \subset \mathrm{Tor}(C)$. By Lemma 4.9.3 and $|C| = |\mathrm{Res}(C)||\mathrm{Tor}(C)|$, we imply

$$|\langle \Pi_{j\in I}[(\varphi M_j(x))]^{\eta_j}\rangle| = |\mathrm{Tor}(C)|.$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Tor}(C) = \langle \Pi_{j\in I}[(\varphi M_j(x))]^{\eta_j}\rangle$. □

Theorem 4.13. *Let C be a cyclic code of length n over R, and let d_1 and d_2 be the minimum Hamming distances of the* $\mathrm{Res}(C)$ *and* $\mathrm{Tor}(C)$, *respectively. Then $d_G(C) = \min\{d_1, 2d_2\}$.*

Proof. For any nonzero codeword $c = a(x)+ub(x) \in C$, if $a(x) \neq 0$, then $a(x) \in \mathrm{Res}(C)$. Thus $w_G(c) \ge d_1$. Otherwise, $c = ub(x) \in \mathrm{Tor}(C)$, hence, $w_G(c) \ge 2d_2$. So $d_G(C) \ge \min\{d_1, 2d_2\}$. On the other hand, since $u\mathrm{Tor}(C)$ is contained in C, we can obtain $d_G(C) \le 2d_2$. Obviously, $\mathrm{Res}(C) \subset C$, hence $d_1 \ge d_G(C)$. It follows that $\min\{d_1, 2d_2\} \ge d_G(C)$. This proves the expected result. □

Combing Corollary 2.3, 4.5, 4.7 and Theorem 4.13, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.14. *Let C be a Hermitian dual-containing cyclic code over R of length n size $(p^{2m})^k$, and let d_1 and d_2 be the minimum Hamming distances of the* $\mathrm{Res}(C)$ *and* $\mathrm{Tor}(C)$. *Then there exists a quantum code with parameters* $[[2n, 2k-2n, \geq \min\{d_1, 2d_2\}]]_{p^m}$.

Example 3. *Consider cyclic codes of length* 25 *over* $F_{13^2} + uF_{13^2}$. *In* $F_{13^2} + uF_{13^2}$,

$$x^{25} - 1 = M_0(X)M_1(x)M_2(x)M_5(x)M_{10}(x),$$

where

$$M_0(x) = x - (1-u),$$
$$M_1(X) = x^{10} + w^{30}(1+8u)x^5 + (1+3u),$$
$$M_2(X) = x^{10} + w^{54}(1+8u)x^5 + (1+3u),$$
$$M_5(X) = x^2 + w^{30}(1-u)x + (1-2u),$$
$$M_{10}(X) = x^2 + w^{54}(1-u)x + (1-2u).$$

Let $C = \langle M_0(x)M_1(X)^2M_{10}(X)^2\rangle$. *By Theorem* 4.11, $C^{\perp_H} \subset C$. *Using Theorem* 4.13, *we find that the Gray distance of* C *is equal to 4. Again from Theorem* 4.14, *a* $[[50, 42, \geq 4]]_{13}$ *quantum code may be obtained from Gray image of this code. This code is a SQCNMDS code.*

Example 4. *Consider cyclic codes of length* 8 *over* $F_{3^4} + uF_{3^4}$. *In* $F_{3^4} + uF_{3^4}$,

$$x^8 - 1 = M_0(X)M_1(x)M_2(x)M_3(x)M_4(x)M_5(X)M_6(X)M_7(X),$$

where

$$M_0(x) = x - (1-u),$$
$$M_1(x) = x + (1-u)w^{10},$$
$$M_2(X) = x + (1-u)w^{20},$$
$$M_3(X) = x + w^{30}(1-u),$$
$$M_4(X) = x - (1-u),$$
$$M_5(X) = x + +w^{50}(1-u),$$
$$M_6(X) = x + +w^{60}(1-u),$$
$$M_7(X) = x + +w^{70}(1-u).$$

Let $C = \langle M_0(x)M_1(X)^2\rangle$. *By Theorem* 4.11 , $C^{\perp_H} \subset C$. *Using Theorem* 4.13, *we find that the Gray distance of* C *is equal to 3. Again from Theorem* 4.14, *a* $[[16, 10, \geq 3]]_9$ *quantum code may be obtained from Gray image of this code. This code is a SQCNMDS code.*

5 Conclusion

We give two methods to construct quantum codes from cyclic codes over finite chain rings. Furthermore, the results show that cyclic codes over finite chain rings are also a good resource of constructing quantum codes. We believe that more good quantum codes can be obtained from cyclic codes over finite chain rings. In a future work, we will use the computer algebra system MAGMA to find more new good quantum codes.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by Research Funds of Hubei Province, Grant No. D20144401.

References

[1] Shor, P. W.: Scheme for reducing decoherence in quantum computer memory. Phys. Rev. A, **52**(4), 2493-2496(1995)

[2] Steane, A.M.: Simple quantum error correcting codes. Phys. Rev. A. **54**, 4741-4751(1996)

[3] Calderbank, A. R., Rains, E. M., Shor,P. W., Sloane, N. J. A.: Quantum error correction via codes over $GF(4)$. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **44**, 1369-1387 (1998)

[4] Qian, J., Ma, W., Gou, W.: Quantum codes from cyclic codes over finite ring. Int. J. Quantum Inform., **7**,1277-1283(2009)

[5] Ashraf, M., Mohammad, G.: Quantum codes from cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_3 + v\mathbb{F}_3$. Int. J. Quantum Inf. 12, 1450042(1-8) (2014)

[6] Ketkar, A., Klappenecker, A., Kumar, S., Sarvepalli, P. K.: Nonbinary quantum stabilizer codes over finite fields. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory. **52**(11),4735-4914(2006)

[7] Aly, S. A., Klappenecker, A., Sarvepalli, P. K.: On quantum and classical BCH codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **53**(3),1183-1188(2007)

[8] Liu, X., Liu, H.: LCD codes over finite chain rings. Finite Fields Appl., **34**, 1-19(2015)

[9] Norton, G. H., Sălăgean, A.: On the structure of linear and cyclic codes over finite chain rings. AAECC, **10**, 489-506(2000)

[10] Dougherty, S. T., Liu, H.: Independence of vector in codes over rings. Des. Codes Cryptogr., **51**, 58-68(2009)

[11] Dinh, H., Lpez-Permouth S.R.: Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings. IEEE Trans Inf. Theory **50**(8), 1728-1744 (2004)

[12] Dougherty, S. T., Kim, J.L., Kulosman, H., Liu, H.: Self-dual codes over commutative Frobenius rings. Finite Fields Appl., **16**(1), 14-26(2010)

[13] Huffman, W.C., Pless, V.: Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge(2003)

[14] Chen, B., ling, S., Zhang, G.:Enumeration formulas for self-dual cyclic codes. Finite Fields Appl., **42**, 1-22(2016)